6 Interacting Classical Systems: Worked Examples

- **(6.1)** Consider a model in which there are three possible states per site, which we can denote by A, B, and V. The states A and B are for our purposes identical. The energies of A-A, A-B, and B-B links are all identical and equal to W. The state V represents a vacancy, and any link containing a vacancy, meaning A-V, B-V, or V-V, has energy 0.
 - (a) Suppose we write $\sigma = +1$ for A, $\sigma = -1$ for B, and $\sigma = 0$ for V. How would you write a Hamiltonian for this system? Your result should be of the form

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} E(\sigma_i \,,\, \sigma_j) \quad .$$

Find a simple and explicit function $E(\sigma, \sigma')$ which yields the correct energy for each possible bond configuration.

- (b) Consider a triangle of three sites. Find the average total energy at temperature T. There are $3^3 = 27$ states for the triangle. You can just enumerate them all and find the energies.
- (c) For a one-dimensional ring of N sites, find the 3×3 transfer matrix R. Find the free energy per site F(T,N)/N and the ground state entropy per site S(T,N)/N in the $N\to\infty$ limit for the cases W<0 and W>0. Interpret your results. The eigenvalue equation for R factorizes, so you only have to solve a quadratic equation.

Solution:

(a) The quantity σ_i^2 is 1 if site i is in state A or B and is 0 in state V. Therefore we have

$$\hat{H} = W \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \sigma_i^2 \sigma_j^2 \quad .$$

(b) Of the 27 states, eight have zero vacancies – each site has two possible states A and B – with energy E=3W. There are 12 states with one vacancy, since there are three possible locations for the vacancy and then four possibilities for the remaining two sites (each can be either A or B). Each of these 12 single vacancy states has energy E=W. There are 6 states with two vacancies and 1 state with three vacancies, all of which have energy E=0. The partition function is therefore

$$Z = 8e^{-3\beta W} + 12e^{-\beta W} + 7$$
.

Note that $Z(\beta = 0) = \text{Tr } 1 = 27$ is the total number of 'microstates'. The average energy is then

$$E = -\frac{1}{Z} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \beta} = \left(\frac{24 e^{-3\beta W} + 12 e^{-\beta W}}{8 e^{-3\beta W} + 12 e^{-\beta W} + 7} \right) W$$

(c) The transfer matrix is

$$R_{\sigma\sigma'} = e^{-\beta W \sigma^2 \sigma'^2} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\beta W} & e^{-\beta W} & 1 \\ e^{-\beta W} & e^{-\beta W} & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad ,$$

where the row and column indices are A (1), B (2), and V (3), respectively. The partition function on a ring of N sites is

$$Z = \lambda_1^N + \lambda_2^N + \lambda_3^N \quad ,$$

1

where $\lambda_{1,2,3}$ are the three eigenvalues of R. Generally the eigenvalue equation for a 3×3 matrix is cubic, but we can see immediately that $\det R=0$ because the first two rows are identical. Thus, $\lambda=0$ is a solution to the characteristic equation $P(\lambda)=\det \left(\lambda \mathbb{I}-R\right)=0$, and the cubic polynomial $P(\lambda)$ factors into the product of λ and a quadratic. The latter is easily solved. One finds

$$P(\lambda) = \lambda^3 - (2x+1)\lambda^2 + (2x-2)\lambda$$
,

where $x = e^{-\beta W}$. The roots are $\lambda = 0$ and

$$\lambda_{\pm} = x + \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{x^2 - x + \frac{9}{4}}$$
.

The largest of the three eigenvalues is λ_+ , hence, in the thermodynamic limit,

$$F = -k_{\rm B}T \ln Z = -Nk_{\rm B}T \ln \left(e^{-W/k_{\rm B}T} + \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{e^{-2W/k_{\rm B}T} - e^{-W/k_{\rm B}T} + \frac{9}{4}}\right)$$

The entropy is $S=-\frac{\partial F}{\partial T}.$ In the limit $T\to 0$ with W<0, we have

$$\lambda_{+}(T \to 0, W < 0) = 2e^{|W|/k_{\rm B}T} + e^{-|W|/k_{\rm B}T} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2|W|/k_{\rm B}T})$$

Thus

$$F(T \to 0, W < 0) = -N |W| - Nk_{\rm B}T \ln 2 + \dots$$

 $S(T \to 0, W < 0) = N \ln 2$.

When W > 0, we have

$$\lambda_{+}(T \to 0, W > 0) = 2 + \frac{2}{3} e^{-W/k_{\rm B}T} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2W/k_{\rm B}T})$$

Then

$$F(T \to 0, W > 0) = -Nk_{\rm B}T\ln 2 - \frac{1}{3}Nk_{\rm B}T e^{-W/k_{\rm B}T} + \dots$$

 $S(T \to 0, W > 0) = N\ln 2$.

Thus, the ground state entropies are the same, even though the allowed microstates are very different. For W < 0, there are no vacancies. For W > 0, every link must contain at least one vacancy.

(6.2) The Blume-Capel model is a spin-1 version of the Ising model, with Hamiltonian

$$H = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i \, S_j - \Delta \sum_i S_i^2 \quad , \label{eq:Hamiltonian}$$

where $S_i \in \{-1, 0, +1\}$ and where the first sum is over all links of a lattice and the second sum is over all sites. It has been used to describe magnetic solids containing vacancies (S=0 for a vacancy) as well as phase separation in ${}^4\mathrm{He}-{}^3\mathrm{He}$ mixtures (S=0 for a ${}^4\mathrm{He}$ atom). For parts (b), (c), and (d) you should work in the thermodynamic limit. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors are such that it would shorten your effort considerably to use a program like Mathematica to obtain them.

- (a) Find the transfer matrix for the d = 1 Blume-Capel model.
- (b) Find the free energy $F(T, \Delta, N)$.
- (c) Find the density of S=0 sites as a function of T and Δ .
- (d) Exciting! Find the correlation function $\langle S_j S_{j+n} \rangle$.

Solution:

(a) The transfer matrix R can be written in a number of ways, but it is aesthetically pleasing to choose it to be symmetric. In this case we have

$$R_{SS'} = e^{\beta JSS'} e^{\beta \Delta (S^2 + {S'}^2)/2} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\beta (\Delta + J)} & e^{\beta \Delta/2} & e^{\beta (\Delta - J)} \\ e^{\beta \Delta/2} & 1 & e^{\beta \Delta/2} \\ e^{\beta (\Delta - J)} & e^{\beta \Delta/2} & e^{\beta (\Delta + J)} \end{pmatrix} \quad .$$

(b) For an *N*-site ring, we have

$$Z = \operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H} = \operatorname{Tr} \left(R^N \right) = \lambda_+^N + \lambda_0^N + \lambda_-^N \quad ,$$

where λ_+ , λ_0 , and λ_- are the eigenvalues of the transfer matrix R. To find the eigenvalues, note that

$$\vec{\psi_0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is an eigenvector with eigenvalue $\lambda_0=2\,e^{\beta\Delta}\sinh(\beta J)$. The remaining eigenvectors must be orthogonal to ψ_0 , and hence are of the form

$$\vec{\psi}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 + x_{\pm}^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_{\pm} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

We now demand

$$R \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 e^{\beta \Delta} \cosh(\beta J) + x e^{\beta \Delta/2} \\ 2 e^{\beta \Delta/2} + x \\ 2 e^{\beta \Delta} \cosh(\beta J) + x e^{\beta \Delta/2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \lambda x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} ,$$

resulting in the coupled equations

$$\lambda = 2 e^{\beta \Delta} \cosh(\beta J) + x e^{\beta \Delta/2}$$
$$\lambda x = 2 e^{\beta \Delta/2} + x$$

3

Eliminating x, one obtains a quadratic equation for λ . The solutions are

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \left(e^{\beta \Delta} \cosh(\beta J) + \frac{1}{2}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(e^{\beta \Delta} \cosh(\beta J) + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + 2e^{\beta \Delta}}$$

$$x_{\pm} = e^{-\beta \Delta/2} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2} - e^{\beta \Delta} \cosh(\beta J)\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2} - e^{\beta \Delta} \cosh(\beta J)\right)^2 + 2e^{\beta \Delta}} \right\}$$

Note $\lambda_+ > \lambda_0 > 0 > \lambda_-$ and that λ_+ is the eigenvalue of the largest magnitude. This is in fact guaranteed by the *Perron-Frobenius theorem*, which states that for any positive matrix R (*i.e.* a matrix whose elements are all positive) there exists a positive real number p such that p is an eigenvalue of R and any other (possibly complex) eigenvalue of R is smaller than P in absolute value. Furthermore the associated eigenvector $\vec{\psi}$ is such that all its components are of the same sign. In the thermodynamic limit $N \to \infty$ we then have

$$F(T, \Delta, N) = -Nk_{\rm p}T\ln\lambda_{\perp}$$

(c) Note that, at any site,

$$\langle S^2 \rangle = -\frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \Delta} = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln \lambda_+}{\partial \Delta} \quad ,$$

and furthermore that

$$\delta_{S,0} = 1 - S^2 \quad .$$

Thus,

$$\nu_0 \equiv \frac{N_0}{N} = 1 - \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln \lambda_+}{\partial \Delta} \quad . \label{eq:number}$$

After some algebra, find

$$\nu_0 = 1 - \frac{r - \frac{1}{2}}{\sqrt{r^2 + 2\,e^{\beta\Delta}}} \quad , \label{eq:number}$$

where

$$r = e^{\beta \Delta} \cosh(\beta J) + \frac{1}{2} \quad .$$

It is now easy to explore the limiting cases $\Delta \to -\infty$, where we find $\nu_0 = 1$, and $\Delta \to +\infty$, where we find $\nu_0 = 0$. Both these limits make physical sense.

(d) We have

$$C(n) = \langle S_j \, S_{j+n} \, \rangle = \frac{\operatorname{Tr} \left(\, \Sigma \, R^n \, \Sigma \, R^{N-n} \, \right)}{\operatorname{Tr} \left(R^N \right)} \quad ,$$

where $\Sigma_{SS'}=S\,\delta_{SS'}$. We work in the thermodynamic limit. Note that $\langle\,+\,|\,\Sigma\,|\,+\,\rangle=0$, therefore we must write

$$R = \lambda_{+} |+\rangle \langle +|+\lambda_{0}|0\rangle \langle 0|+\lambda_{-}|-\rangle \langle -|,$$

and we are forced to choose the middle term for the n instances of R between the two Σ matrices. Thus,

$$C(n) = \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_+}\right)^n \left|\langle + | \Sigma | 0 \rangle\right|^2$$
.

We define the correlation length ξ by

$$\xi = \frac{1}{\ln\left(\lambda_{+}/\lambda_{0}\right)} \quad ,$$

in which case

$$C(n) = A e^{-|n|/\xi}$$

where now we generalize to positive and negative values of n, and where

$$A = \left| \langle + | \Sigma | 0 \rangle \right|^2 = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2}x_+^2} .$$

- **(6.3)** DC Comics superhero Clusterman and his naughty dog Henry are shown in Fig. 1. Clusterman, as his name connotes, is a connected diagram, but the diagram for Henry contains some disconnected pieces.
 - (a) Interpreting the diagrams as arising from the Mayer cluster expansion, compute the symmetry factor s_{γ} for Clusterman.
 - (b) What is the *total* symmetry factor for Henry and his disconnected pieces? What would the answer be if, unfortunately, another disconnected piece of the same composition were to be found?
 - (c) What is the lowest order virial coefficient to which Clusterman contributes?




Figure 1: Mayer expansion diagrams for Clusterman and his dog.

Solution:

First of all, this is really disgusting and you should all be ashamed that you had anything to do with this problem.

(a) Clusterman's head gives a factor of 6 because the upper three vertices can be permuted among themselves in any of 3!=6 ways. Each of his hands gives a factor of 2 because each hand can be rotated by π about its corresponding arm. The arms themselves can be interchanged, by rotating his shoulders by π about his body axis (Clusterman finds this invigorating). Finally, the analysis for the hands and arms applies just as well to the feet and legs, so we conclude

$$s_{\gamma} = 6 \cdot (2^2 \cdot 2)^2 = 3 \cdot 2^7 = 384$$
.

Note that an arm cannot be exchanged with a leg, because the two lower vertices on Clusterman's torso are not equivalent. Plus, that would be a really mean thing to do to Clusterman.

- (b) Henry himself has no symmetries. The little pieces each have $s_{\triangle}=3!$, and moreover they can be exchanged, yielding another factor of 2. So the total symmetry factor for Henry plus disconnected pieces is $s_{\triangle\triangle}=2!\cdot(3!)^2=72$. Were another little piece of the same...er...consistency to be found, the symmetry factor would be $s_{\triangle\triangle\triangle}=3!\cdot(3!)^3=2^4\cdot 3^4=1296$, since we get a factor of 3! from each of the \triangle pieces, and a fourth factor of 3! from the permutations among the \triangle s.
- (c) There are 18 vertices in Clusterman, hence he will first appear in B_{18} .

(6.4) Use the high temperature expansion to derive the spin-spin correlation functions for a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ ($\sigma_n = \pm 1$) Ising chain and Ising ring. Compare with the results in chapter 6 of the lecture notes.

Solution:

The spin-spin correlation function $C_{kl} = \langle \sigma_k \, \sigma_l \rangle$ is expressed as a ratio Y_{kl}/Z as in eqn. 6.51 of the Lecture Notes (LN). For the chain, the only diagram which contributes to Z is $\Gamma = \{\emptyset\}$, i.e. the trivial empty lattice. This is because there is no way to form closed loops on a chain. Thus $Z_{\rm ring} = 2^N (\cosh \beta J)^{N-1}$ since the number of links is $N_{\rm L} = N-1$ (see LN eqn. 6.45). For the chain, in addition to the empty lattice, there is one closed loop that can be formed which includes every link of the chain. Thus $Z_{\rm chain} = 2^N (\cosh \beta J)^N (1+x^N)$, where $x = \tanh \beta J$. As for the numerator Y_{kl} , on the chain there is only one possible string, shown in Fig. 2, which extends between sites k and l. Thus $Y_{kl}^{\rm chain} = 2^N (\cosh \beta J)^{N-1} x^{|k-l|}$. On the ring there are two possible strings, since the ring is multiply connected. Thus $Y_{kl}^{\rm ring} = 2^N (\cosh \beta J)^N (x^{|k-l|} + x^{N-|k-l|})$. Therefore,

$$C_{kl}^{\text{chain}} = x^{|k-l|}$$
 , $C_{kl}^{\text{ring}} = \frac{x^{|k-l|} + x^{N-|k-l|}}{1 + x^N}$




Figure 2: Diagrams for the numerator of the high temperature expansion of the spin-spin correlation function on an Ising ring and chain.

(6.5) An ionic solution of dielectric constant ϵ and mean ionic density n fills a grounded conducting sphere of radius R. A charge Q lies at the center of the sphere. Calculate the ionic charge density as a function of the radial coordinate r, assuming $Q/r \ll k_{\rm B}T$.

Solution:

Debye-Hückel theory tells us that

$$n_{+}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} n_{\infty} e^{\mp e\phi(\mathbf{r})/k_{\rm B}T}$$

and

$$\nabla^2 \phi = -\frac{4\pi e}{\epsilon} \left(n_+ - n_- \right) - \frac{4\pi}{\epsilon} \, \rho_{\mbox{\tiny ext}} \quad , \label{eq:phi}$$

where ϵ is the dielectric constant. Assuming $\phi \ll k_{\rm\scriptscriptstyle B} T$, we have $\nabla^2 \phi = \kappa_{\rm\scriptscriptstyle D}^2 \, \phi - 4\pi \epsilon^{-1} \rho_{\rm\scriptscriptstyle ext}$, with

$$\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{D}} = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi n_{\scriptscriptstyle \infty} e^2}{\epsilon \, k_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{B}} T}} \quad . \label{eq:kdot}$$

Assuming a spherically symmetric solution, with a point charge Q at the origin, we solve

$$\left(-\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} r + \kappa_{\rm D}^2\right) \phi = \frac{4\pi Q}{\epsilon} \delta(\mathbf{r}) .$$

The solution is then of the form $\phi(r) = \frac{1}{r} u(r)$, with $u'' = \kappa_D^2 u$ for r > 0. Thus,

$$\phi(r) = A \, \frac{\cosh(\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{D}} r)}{r} + B \, \frac{\sinh(\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{D}} r)}{r} \quad . \label{eq:phi}$$

As $r \to 0$ we must have an unscreened charge Q, hence $A = Q/\epsilon$. The boundary condition on the conducting sphere is $\phi(R) = 0$, hence $B = -A \coth{(\kappa_{\rm D} R)}$. Thus,

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{Q \cosh(\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{D}} r)}{\epsilon \, r} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\tanh(\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{D}} r)}{\tanh(\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{D}} R)} \right) \quad .$$

We stress that this solution is valid only where $e \phi(\mathbf{r}) \ll k_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{B}} T$.

(6.6) Consider a three-dimensional gas of point particles interacting according to the potential

$$u(r) = \begin{cases} +\Delta_0 & \text{if } r \le a \\ -\Delta_1 & \text{if } a < r \le b \\ 0 & \text{if } b < r \end{cases},$$

where $\Delta_{0,1}$ are both positive. Compute the second virial coefficient $B_2(T)$ and find a relation which determines the inversion temperature in a throttling process.

Solution:

The Mayer function is

$$f(r) = \begin{cases} e^{-\Delta_0/k_{\rm B}T} - 1 & \text{if } r \le 0\\ e^{\Delta_1/k_{\rm B}T} - 1 & \text{if } a < r \le b\\ 0 & \text{if } b < r \end{cases}.$$

The second virial coefficient is

$$\begin{split} B_2(T) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \!\! d^3\!r \, f(r) \\ &= \frac{2\pi a^3}{3} \cdot \left[\left(1 - e^{-\Delta_0/k_{\rm B}T} \right) + (s^3 - 1) \left(1 - e^{\Delta_1/k_{\rm B}T} \right) \right] \quad , \end{split}$$

where s = b/a. The inversion temperature is a solution of the equation $B_2(T) = TB_2'(T)$, which gives

$$s^{3} - 1 = \frac{1 + \left(\frac{\Delta_{0}}{k_{\rm B}T} - 1\right) e^{-\Delta_{0}/k_{\rm B}T}}{1 + \left(\frac{\Delta_{1}}{k_{\rm B}T} + 1\right) e^{\Delta_{1}/k_{\rm B}T}} \quad .$$

- **(6.7)** At the surface of every metal a dipolar layer develops which lowers the potential energy for electrons inside the metal. Some electrons near the surface escape to the outside, leaving a positively charged layer behind, while overall there is charge neutrality. The situation is depicted in Fig. 3. The electron density outside the metal is very low and Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics are appropriate.
- (a) Consider a flat metallic surface, normal to \hat{x} , located at x=0. Assume for x>0 an electronic distribution $n(x)=n_0\exp(e\phi/k_{\rm B}T)$, where ϕ is the electric potential. For x>0 there are only electrons; all the positive charges are located within the metal. Write down the self-consistent equation for the potential $\phi(x)$.
- (b) Having found the self-consistent equation for $\phi(x)$, show that, multiplying by $\phi'(x)$, the equation can be integrated once, analogous to the conservation of energy for mechanical systems (with ϕ playing the role of the coordinate and x playing the role of time). Show that the equation can be integrated once again to yield $\phi(x)$, with the constant determined by the requirement that $n(x=0)=n_0$.




Figure 3: Electron distribution in the vicinity of the surface of a metal.

(c) Find n(x).

Solution:

(a) The self-consistent equation is Poisson's equation,

$$\nabla^2 \phi = -4\pi \rho = 4\pi e n_0 \, e^{e\phi/k_{\rm B}T}$$

Since the only variation is along x, we have $\phi''=4\pi e n_0\,e^{e\phi/k_{\rm B}T}$. Multiplying each side by $\frac{d\phi}{dx}$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{2} {\phi'}^2\right) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(4\pi n_0 \, k_{\rm\scriptscriptstyle B} T \, e^{e\phi/k_{\rm\scriptscriptstyle B} T}\right) \quad , \label{eq:delta_total_total}$$

and integrating this equation from x to ∞ we obtain

$$\frac{d\phi}{dx} = -(8\pi n_0 k_{\rm B} T)^{1/2} e^{e\phi/2k_{\rm B}T}$$

Note also the choice of sign here, due to the fact that the potential $-e\phi$ for electrons must increase with x. The boundary term at $x=\infty$ must vanish since $n(\infty)=0$, which requires $e^{e\phi(\infty)/k_{\rm B}T}=0$.

(b) Integrating once more, we have

$$e^{-e\phi(x)/2k_{\rm B}T} = \left(\frac{2\pi n_0 e^2}{k_{\rm B}T}\right)^{1/2} (x+a)$$
 ,

where a is a constant of integration. Since $n(x=0)\equiv n_0$, we must have $\phi(0)=0$, and hence

$$a = \left(\frac{k_{\rm B}T}{2\pi n_0 e^2}\right)^{1/2}$$
 .

Thus,

$$\phi(x) = -\frac{2k_{\rm B}T}{e} \ln\left(\frac{x+a}{a}\right)$$

(c) The electron number distribution is then

$$n(x) = n_0 \left(\frac{a}{x+a}\right)^2 \quad .$$

9

- (6.8) In §6.4.3 of the notes, the virial equation of state is derived for a single species of particle.
 - (a) Generalize eqn. 5.160 to the case of two species interacting by $u_{\sigma\sigma'}(r)$, where σ and σ' are the species labels.
 - (b) For a plasma, show from Debye-Hückel theory that the pair correlation function is $g_{\sigma\sigma'} \propto \exp\left(-\sigma\sigma'q^2\phi(r)/k_{\rm B}T\right)$, where σ and σ' are the signs of the charges (magnitude q), and $\phi(r)$ is the screened potential due to a unit positive test charge.
 - (c) Find the equation of state for a three-dimensional two-component plasma, in the limit where *T* is large.

Solution:

(a) Let $i=1,\ldots,N_++N_-$ index all the particles, and let $\sigma_i=\pm 1$ denote the sign of the charge of particle i, with $\sigma_i=+1$ for $1\leq i\leq N_+$ and $\sigma_i=-1$ for $(N_++1)\leq i\leq (N_++N_-)$. In a globally neutral system, $N_+=N_-\equiv \frac{1}{2}N$. We define

$$g_{\mu
u}(m{r}) \equiv rac{1}{n_{\mu}n_{
u}} igl\langle \sum_{i
eq j} \delta(m{r} - m{x}_i) \, \delta(m{x}_j) \, \delta_{\sigma_i,\mu} \, \delta_{\sigma_j,
u} igr
angle \quad ,$$

where n_{μ} is the density of particles of species μ , with $\mu=\pm 1$. As defined, $g_{\mu\nu}(r)\to 1$ as $r\to\infty$. If instead we normalize $g_{\mu\nu}$ by dividing by $n_{\rm tot}^2=(n_++n_-)^2$, then we would have $g_{\mu\nu}(r\to\infty)=\frac{1}{4}$. We next work on the virial equation of state,

$$\frac{p}{k_{\rm\scriptscriptstyle B}T} = \frac{N_+ + N_-}{V} - \frac{1}{3Vk_{\rm\scriptscriptstyle B}T} \sum_{i=1}^{N_+ + N_-} \langle \boldsymbol{x}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_i W \rangle \quad .$$

The potential is

$$W = \sum_{i < j} rac{\sigma_i \, \sigma_j \, q^2}{|m{x}_i - m{x}_j|} \equiv \sum_{i < j} u_{\sigma_i \sigma_j} \left(|m{x}_i - m{x}_j|
ight) \quad ,$$

with $u_{\sigma\sigma'}(r) = \sigma\sigma'q^2/r$. Then using translational invariance one has

$$\frac{p}{k_{\rm B}T} = n_{+} + n_{-} - \frac{2\pi}{3k_{\rm B}T} \sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} n_{\sigma} n_{\sigma'} \int_{0}^{\infty} dr \, r^{3} \, u'_{\sigma\sigma'}(r) \, g_{\sigma\sigma'}(r)$$

(b) According to Debye-Hückel theory,

$$g_{\sigma\sigma'}(r) = \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma\sigma'q\,\phi(r)}{k_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{B}}T}\right) \quad ,$$

where $\phi(r)$ is the screened potential at r due to a point charge q at the origin, which satisfies

$$\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi nq \sinh\left(q\phi/k_{\rm B}T\right) - 4\pi q \,\delta(\mathbf{r}) \quad ,$$

where $n_+ = n_- \equiv \frac{1}{2}n$. In the high temperature limit, we can expand the sinh function and we obtain the Yukawa potential

$$\phi(r) = \frac{q}{r} e^{-\kappa_{\rm D} r} \quad ,$$

where

$$\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{D}} = \left(\frac{4\pi nq^2}{k_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{B}}T}\right)^{\!1/2}$$

is the Debye screening wavevector. Thus, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{p}{k_{\rm B}T} &= n - \frac{\pi n^2}{6k_{\rm B}T} \int\limits_0^\infty\!\!dr \; r^3 \bigg(\!-\frac{q^2}{r^2}\!\bigg) \sum_{\sigma,\sigma'}\!\!\sigma\sigma' \; g_{\sigma\sigma'}(r) \\ &= n - \frac{2\pi n^2 q^3}{3(k_{\rm B}T)^2} \int\limits_0^\infty\!\!dr \; r \, \phi(r) = n - \frac{2\pi n^2 q^4}{3(k_{\rm B}T)^2 \kappa_{\rm D}} \\ &= n \bigg(\!1 - \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \, n^{1/2} \, q^3}{3 \, (k_{\rm B}T)^{3/2}}\!\bigg) \quad . \end{split}$$

(6.9) Consider a liquid where the interaction potential is $u(r) = \Delta_0 (a/r)^k$, where Δ_0 and a are energy and length scales, respectively. Assume that the pair distribution function is given by $g(r) \approx e^{-u(r)/k_{\rm B}T}$. Compute the equation of state. For what values of k do your expressions converge?

Solution:

According to the virial equation of state in eqn. 6.157 of the Lecture Notes,

$$p = nk_{\rm B}T - rac{2}{3}\pi n^2 \int\limits_0^\infty \!\! dr \, r^3 \, g(r) \, u'(r) \quad .$$

Substituting for u(r) and g(r) as in the statement of the problem, we change variables to

$$s \equiv \frac{u(r)}{k_{\rm B}T} \quad \Rightarrow \quad ds = \frac{u'(r)}{k_{\rm B}T} \, dr \quad , \label{eq:spectrum}$$

so

$$r = a \left(\frac{\Delta_0}{k_{\rm\scriptscriptstyle B} T}\right)^{\!1/k} s^{-1/k}$$

and

$$r^3 g(r) \, u'(r) \, dr = k_{\rm B} T \, a^3 \bigg(\frac{\Delta_0}{k_{\rm B} T} \bigg)^{\!\! 3/k} s^{-3/k} \, e^{-s} \, ds \quad . \label{eq:radiation}$$

We then have

$$\begin{split} p &= n k_{\rm B} T + \frac{2}{3} \pi n^3 a^3 k_{\rm B} T \bigg(\frac{\Delta_0}{k_{\rm B} T} \bigg)^{\!\! 3/k} \! \int\limits_0^\infty \!\! ds \, s^{-3/k} \, e^{-s} \\ &= n k_{\rm B} T \bigg\{ 1 + \frac{2}{3} \pi \Gamma \Big(1 - \frac{3}{k} \Big) \, n a^3 \bigg(\frac{\Delta_0}{k_{\rm B} T} \bigg)^{\!\! 3/k} \bigg\} \quad . \end{split}$$

Note that a minus sign appears because we must switch the upper and lower limits on the s integral. This expression converges provided k < 0 or k > 3.

(6.10) Consider a charge Q impurity located at the origin of a two-dimensional metallic plane. You may model the plane initially as a noninteracting Fermi gas in the presence of a neutralizing background. Poisson's equation is

$$\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi e \big[n(\boldsymbol{\rho}) - n_0 \big] \delta(z) - 4\pi Q \, \delta(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \, \delta(z) \quad , \label{eq:phi}$$

where $r = (\rho, z)$ is decomposed into a two-dimensional vector ρ and the scalar z, and where n_0 is the number density of electrons at $|\rho| = \infty$.

- (a) Using the Thomas-Fermi approach, find the two-dimensional electron number density $n(\rho)$ in terms of the local potential $\phi(\rho, 0)$.
- (b) By Fourier transformation, show that

$$\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{k},q) = \frac{4\pi Q}{\mathbf{k}^2 + q^2} - \frac{4\pi n_0 e^2}{\varepsilon_{\rm E}} \frac{\hat{\chi}(\mathbf{k})}{\mathbf{k}^2 + q^2} \quad ,$$

where k is a two-dimensional wavevector, and

$$\hat{\chi}(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq}{2\pi} \, \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{k}, q) \quad .$$

- (c) Solve for $\hat{\chi}(\mathbf{k})$ and then for $\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{k}, q)$.
- (d) Derive an expression for the potential $\phi(\rho, z)$.
- (e) Derive an expression for the local charge density $\varrho(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = en_0 en(\boldsymbol{\rho})$. Show that $\varrho(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \frac{Q}{2\pi\lambda^2} f(\rho/\lambda)$, where λ is a screening length and f(s) is some function, and expression for which you should derive. Sketch f(s).

Solution:

(a) In two dimensions we have

$$n = 2 \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \Theta(k_{\rm F} - k) = \frac{k_{\rm F}^2}{2\pi} = \frac{m\varepsilon_{\rm F}}{\pi\hbar^2} \quad ,$$

where we have used $\varepsilon_{\rm F}=\hbar^2k_{\rm F}^2/2m$. In the presence of a potential, the energy levels are shifted and it is the electrochemical potential $\varepsilon_{\rm F}^\infty=\varepsilon_{\rm F}-e\phi$ which is constant throughout the system. Thus, the local electron density is

$$n(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \frac{m}{\pi \hbar^2} \Big[\varepsilon_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{F}}^\infty + e \, \phi(\boldsymbol{\rho}, 0) \Big] = n_0 + \frac{me}{\pi \hbar^2} \, \phi(\boldsymbol{\rho}, 0)$$

Here, $\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \phi(\boldsymbol{\rho}, z)$ is the electrostatic potential in three-dimensional space. When we restrict to the z = 0 plane we write $\phi(\boldsymbol{\rho}, 0)$.

(b) We now have

$$\nabla^2 \phi = \frac{4}{a_{\rm B}} \, \phi(\boldsymbol{\rho}, 0) \, \delta(z) - 4\pi Q \, \delta(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \, \delta(z) \quad ,$$

where $a_{\rm B}=\hbar^2/me^2$ is the Bohr radius. Now we take the Fourier transform by multiplying the above equation by $e^{i {\bf k} \cdot {\bf \rho}} e^{i q z}$ and then integrating over all ${\bf \rho}$ and z. This gives

$$-(\mathbf{k}^2+q^2)\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{k},q) = \frac{4}{a_{\rm B}} \underbrace{\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{\chi}(\mathbf{k})}{2\pi} \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{k},q)}_{-4\pi Q} ,$$

 $\label{eq:losselJ} Integrate[BesselJ[0,\,u\,a]\,\,/\,\,(1+u)\,,\,\,\{u,\,0,\,Infinity\}\,,\,\, Assumptions\,\,\rightarrow\,Re\,[a]\,\,>\,0\,\,\&\&\,\,Abs\,[\,Im\,[a\,]\,]\,\,=\,0\,]$

$$\frac{1}{2}\pi \; (\texttt{-BesselY[0,a]} + \texttt{StruveH[0,a]})$$

$$F[x_{_}] := 1 / x + \frac{1}{2} \pi (BesselY[0, x] - StruveH[0, x])$$

 $\texttt{Plot}[\texttt{F}[\texttt{x}]\,,\,\{\texttt{x},\,\texttt{0},\,\texttt{10}\}\,,\,\,\texttt{AxesLabel}\,\rightarrow\,\{\sigma,\,\texttt{F}[\,\sigma,\,\texttt{0}\,]\,\}\,,\,\,\,\texttt{PlotStyle}\,\rightarrow\,\,\texttt{Thick}]$




Figure 4: Plot of the screening charge density in units of $-Q/2\pi\lambda^2$ for problem (10).

hence

$$\hat{\phi}({\bm k},q) = \frac{4\pi Q}{{\bm k}^2 + q^2} - \frac{4}{a_{\rm B}} \, \frac{\hat{\chi}({\bm k})}{{\bm k}^2 + q^2} \quad . \label{eq:phi}$$

(c) To solve for $\hat{\chi}(\mathbf{k})$ we integrate the above equation over q and use the fact that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq}{2\pi} \frac{e^{iqz}}{\mathbf{k}^2 + q^2} = \frac{e^{-|\mathbf{k}z|}}{2|\mathbf{k}|} .$$

Thus,

$$\hat{\chi}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{2\pi Q}{|\boldsymbol{k}|} - \frac{2}{|\boldsymbol{k}a_{\mathrm{B}}|} \hat{\chi}(\boldsymbol{k})$$

Thus,

$$\hat{\chi}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{2\pi Q}{|\boldsymbol{k}| + \lambda^{-1}} \quad ,$$

where $\lambda=\frac{1}{2}a_{_{\mathrm{B}}}.$ Plugging this back into our equation for $\hat{\phi}(\pmb{k},q)$, we obtain

$$\hat{\phi}(\boldsymbol{k},q) = rac{4\pi Q \cdot |\boldsymbol{k}\lambda|}{\left(\boldsymbol{k}^2 + q^2\right)\left(1 + |\boldsymbol{k}\lambda|\right)}$$
 .

(d) Now we Fourier transform back to real space:

$$\begin{split} \phi(\boldsymbol{\rho},z) &= \int \!\! \frac{d^2\!k}{(2\pi)^2} \int \!\! \frac{dq}{2\pi} \, \hat{\phi}(\boldsymbol{k},q) \, e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}} \, e^{iqz} \\ &= \int \!\! \frac{d^2\!k}{(2\pi)^2} \, \frac{e^{-|\boldsymbol{k}z|}}{2\,|\boldsymbol{k}|} \cdot \frac{4\pi Q\, |\boldsymbol{k}\lambda|}{1+|\boldsymbol{k}\lambda|} \cdot e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}} \\ &= \frac{Q}{\lambda} \, F\!\left(\rho/\lambda,|z|/\lambda\right) \quad , \end{split}$$

where

$$F(\sigma,\zeta) = \int\limits_0^\infty \!\! du \, \frac{u}{1+u} \, J_0(\sigma u) \, e^{-\zeta u} \quad , \label{eq:force}$$

where $J_0(s)$ is the Bessel function of order zero.

(e) We have

$$\varrho(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = e[n_0 - n(\boldsymbol{\rho})] = -\frac{Q}{2\pi\lambda^2} F(\rho/\lambda, 0)$$
.

Note

$$\begin{split} F(\rho/\lambda,0) &= \int\limits_0^\infty \!\! du \, \frac{u \, J_0(u\rho/\lambda)}{1+u} = \frac{\lambda}{\rho} - \int\limits_0^\infty \!\! du \, \frac{J_0(u\rho/\lambda)}{1+u} \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2}\pi \, Y_0(\rho/\lambda) - \frac{1}{2}\pi \, \mathbf{H}_0(\rho/\lambda) \quad , \end{split}$$

where $Y_0(s)$ is a Bessel function of the second kind and $\mathbf{H}_0(s)$ is the Struve function. Asymptotically 1 we obtain

$$\varrho(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \frac{Q}{2\pi\lambda^2} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} (-1)^n \Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2} + n\right) \left(\frac{2\lambda}{\rho}\right)^{(2n+1)} + \mathcal{O}(2\lambda/\rho)^{2p+1} \right\} .$$

Note that $\varrho(\rho) \propto \rho^{-3}$ at large distances. In the above formula, p is arbitrary. Since $\Gamma(z+\frac{1}{2}) \sim z \ln z - z$, the optimal value of p to minimize the remainder in the sum is $p \approx \rho/2\lambda$. See Fig. 4 for a sketch.

See Gradshteyn and Ryzhik §8.554, then use $\Gamma(z) \Gamma(1-z) = \pi \csc(\pi z)$.

(11) The grand partition function for a system is given by the expression

$$\Xi = (1+z)^{V/v_0} (1+z^{\alpha V/v_0}) \quad ,$$

where $\alpha > 0$. In this problem, you are to work in the thermodynamic limit. You will also need to be careful to distinguish the cases |z| < 1 and |z| > 1.

- (a) Find an expression for the pressure p(T, z).
- (b) Find an expression for the number density n(T, z).
- (c) Plot v(p,T) as a function of p for different temperatures and show there is a first order phase transition, *i.e.* a discontinuity in v(p), which occurs for |z| = 1. What is the change in volume at the transition?

Solution:

(a) The grand potential is

$$\varOmega(T,z) = -k_{\mathrm{B}}T\ln\Xi = -\frac{k_{\mathrm{B}}T\,V}{v_{\mathrm{0}}}\,\ln(1+z) - k_{\mathrm{B}}T\ln\!\left(1+z^{\alpha V/v_{\mathrm{0}}}\right) \quad . \label{eq:gamma_sum}$$

Now take the thermodynamic limit $V/v_0 \to \infty$. One then has

$$\Omega(T,z) = -\frac{k_{\rm\scriptscriptstyle B} T \, V}{v_0} \, \ln(1+z) - \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} & |z| < 1 \\ \frac{\alpha k_{\rm\scriptscriptstyle B} T \, V}{v_0} \, \ln z & \text{if} & |z| > 1 \end{cases} \; .$$

From this we compute the pressure,

$$\begin{split} p &= -\left(\frac{\partial \varOmega}{\partial V}\right)_{T,\mu} = \frac{k_{\mathrm{B}}T}{v_0} \ln(1+z) + \frac{\alpha k_{\mathrm{B}}T}{v_0} \cdot \frac{z^{\alpha V/v_0} \ln z}{1+z^{\alpha V/v_0}} \\ &= \frac{k_{\mathrm{B}}T}{v_0} \ln(1+z) + \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad |z| < 1 \\ \frac{\alpha k_{\mathrm{B}}T}{v_0} \ln z & \text{if} \quad |z| > 1 \end{cases} \; . \end{split}$$

(b) For the density, we have

$$\begin{split} n &= -\frac{z}{V k_{\mathrm{B}} T} \left(\frac{\partial \varOmega}{\partial z}\right)_{\!T,V} = \frac{1}{v_0} \cdot \frac{z}{1+z} + \frac{\alpha}{v_0} \cdot \frac{z^{\alpha V/v_0}}{1+z^{\alpha V/v_0}} \\ &= \frac{1}{v_0} \cdot \frac{z}{1+z} + \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad |z| < 1 \\ \alpha/v_0 & \text{if} \quad |z| > 1 \end{cases} \;. \end{split}$$

(c) We eliminate z from the above equations, and we write v=1/n as the volume per particle. The fugacity z(v) satisfies

$$z(v) = \begin{cases} \frac{v_0}{v - v_0} & \text{if} \quad v > 2v_0 \\ \\ 1 & \text{if} \quad \frac{2v_0}{1 + 2\alpha} < v < 2v_0 \\ \\ \frac{v_0 - \alpha v}{(1 + \alpha)v - v_0} & \text{if} \quad \frac{v_0}{1 + \alpha} < v < \frac{2v_0}{1 + 2\alpha} \\ \\ \infty & \text{if} \quad v < \frac{v_0}{1 + \alpha} \end{cases}$$

We then have

$$\frac{pv_0}{k_{\mathrm{B}}T} = \begin{cases} \ln\left(\frac{v}{v-v_0}\right) & v > 2v_0 \\ \ln 2 & \frac{2v_0}{1+2\alpha} < v < 2v_0 \\ \ln\left[\left(\frac{v}{(1+\alpha)v-v_0}\right)\left(\frac{v_0-\alpha v}{(1+\alpha)v-v_0}\right)^{\alpha}\right] & \frac{v_0}{1+\alpha} < v < \frac{2v_0}{1+2\alpha} \end{cases}$$

$$\infty \qquad v < \frac{v_0}{1+\alpha}$$

Sample plots of z(v) and p(v) are shown in Fig. 5.




Figure 5: z(v) and p(v) for $\alpha = 0.2, 1.0$, and 3.0.

(6.12) In problem 11, you considered the thermodynamic properties associated with the grand partition function $\Xi(V,z) = (1+z)^{V/v_0} (1+z^{\alpha V/v_0})$. Consider now the following partition function:

$$\Xi(V,z) = (1+z)^{V/v_0} \prod_{j=1}^K \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{z}{\sigma_j}\right)^{\alpha V/Kv_0} \right\} \quad .$$

Consider the thermodynamic limit where α is a number on the order of unity, $V/v_0 \to \infty$, and $K \to \infty$ but with $Kv_0/V \to 0$. For example, we might have $K \propto (V/v_0)^{1/2}$.

(a) Show that the number density is

$$n(T,z) = \frac{1}{v_0} \frac{z}{1+z} + \frac{\alpha}{v_0} \int_{0}^{|z|} d\sigma \ g(\sigma) \quad ,$$

where

$$g(\sigma) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \delta(\sigma - \sigma_j) \quad .$$

- (b) Derive the corresponding expression for p(T, z).
- (c) In the thermodynamic limit, the spacing between consecutive σ_j values becomes infinitesimal. In this case, $g(\sigma)$ approaches a continuous distribution. Consider the flat distribution,

$$g(\sigma) = \frac{1}{w} \Theta(\sigma - r) \Theta(r + w - \sigma) = \begin{cases} w^{-1} & \text{if } r < \sigma < r + w \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The model now involves three dimensionless parameters²: α , r, and w. Solve for z(v). You will have to take cases, and you should find there are three regimes to consider³.

- (d) Plot $pv_0/k_{\scriptscriptstyle B}T$ versus v/v_0 for the case $\alpha=\frac{1}{4}$ and r=w=1.
- (e) Comment on the critical properties (i.e. the singularities) of the equation of state.

Solution:

(a) We have

$$\frac{1}{V}\ln\Xi = \frac{1}{v_0}\ln(1+z) + \frac{\alpha}{Kv_0}\sum_{i=1}^K \ln(z/\sigma_i)\Theta(|z| - \sigma_i) \quad ,$$

so from $n = V^{-1}z \partial \ln \Xi / \partial z$,

$$n = \frac{1}{v_0} \frac{z}{1+z} + \frac{\alpha}{Kv_0} \sum_{i=1}^K \Theta(|z| - \sigma_i)$$
$$= \frac{1}{v_0} \frac{z}{1+z} + \frac{\alpha}{v_0} \int_0^{|z|} d\sigma g(\sigma) .$$

²The quantity v_0 has dimensions of volume and disappears from the problem if one defines $\tilde{v}=v/v_0$.

³You should find that a fourth regime, $v < (1 + r^{-1})v_0$, is not permitted.

(b) The pressure is $p = V^{-1}k_{\rm\scriptscriptstyle B}T\ln\Xi$:

$$p = \frac{k_{\rm B}T}{v_0} \ln(1+z) + \frac{\alpha k_{\rm B}T}{K v_0} \sum_{i=1}^K \ln(z/\sigma_i) \Theta(|z| - \sigma_i)$$
$$= \frac{k_{\rm B}T}{v_0} \ln(1+z) + \frac{\alpha k_{\rm B}T}{v_0} \int_0^{|z|} d\sigma \ g(\sigma) \ln(z/\sigma) \quad .$$

(c) We now consider the given form for $g(\sigma)$. From our equation for n(z), we have

$$nv_0 = \frac{v_0}{v} = \begin{cases} \frac{z}{1+z} & \text{if } |z| \leq r \\ \frac{z}{1+z} + \frac{\alpha}{w} \left(z-r\right) & \text{if } r \leq |z| \leq r+w \\ \frac{z}{1+z} + \alpha & \text{if } r+w \leq |z| \end{cases}.$$

We need to invert this result. We assume $z \in \mathbb{R}^+$. In the first regime, we have

$$z \in \left[\, 0 \, , \, r \, \right] \quad \Rightarrow \quad z = \frac{v_0}{v - v_0} \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{v}{v_0} \in \left[1 + r^{-1} \, , \, \infty \right] \quad . \label{eq:continuous}$$

In the third regime,

$$z \in [r+w, \infty] \quad \Rightarrow \quad z = \frac{v_0 - \alpha v}{(1+\alpha)v - v_0} \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{v}{v_0} \in \left[\frac{1}{1+\alpha}, \frac{1+r+w}{(1+\alpha)(r+w) + \alpha}\right]$$

Note that there is a minimum possible volume per particle, $v_{\min}=v_0/(1+\alpha)$, hence a maximum possible density $n_{\max}=1/v_{\min}$. This leaves us with the second regime, where $z\in [\,r\,,\,r+w\,]$. We must invert the relation

$$\frac{v_0}{v} = \frac{z}{1+z} + \frac{\alpha}{w}(z-r) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\alpha}{w}z^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha}{w}(1-r) + 1 - \frac{v_0}{v}\right)z - \left(\frac{\alpha r}{w} + \frac{v_0}{v}\right) = 0 \quad .$$

obtaining

$$z = \frac{-\left[\frac{\alpha}{w}(1-r) + 1 - \frac{v_0}{v}\right] + \sqrt{\left[\frac{\alpha}{w}(1-r) + 1 - \frac{v_0}{v}\right]^2 + \frac{4\alpha}{w}\left(\frac{\alpha r}{w} + \frac{v_0}{v}\right)}}{2\alpha/w}$$

which holds for

$$a \in [r, r+w] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{v}{v_0} \in \left[\frac{1+r+w}{(1+\alpha)(r+w)+\alpha}, 1+r^{-1} \right]$$

The dimensionless pressure $\pi = pv_0/k_{\rm B}T$ is given by

$$z \in [0, r] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \pi = \ln(1+z) \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{v}{v_0} \in [1+r^{-1}, \infty] \quad .$$

and

$$z \in [r+w\,,\,\infty\,] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \pi = \ln(1+z) + \alpha \ln z - \frac{\alpha}{w} \Big[(r+w) \ln(r+w) - r \ln r - w \Big]$$

in the large volume region and

$$\frac{v}{v_0} \in \left[\frac{1}{1+\alpha}, \frac{1+r+w}{(1+\alpha)(r+w)+\alpha} \right]$$

in the small volume region. In the intermediate volume region, we have

$$\pi = \ln(1+z) + \frac{\alpha}{w}(z-r)\ln z - \frac{\alpha}{w}\left(z\ln z - r\ln r - z + r\right) ,$$

which holds for

$$z \in [r, r+w] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{v}{v_0} \in \left[\frac{1+r+w}{(1+\alpha)(r+w)+\alpha}, 1+r^{-1} \right]$$

- (d) The results are plotted in Fig. 4. Note that v is a continuous function of π , indicating a second order transition.
- (e) Consider the thermodynamic behavior in the vicinity of z=r, *i.e.* near $v=(1+r^{-1})v_0$. Let's write $z=r+\epsilon$ and work to lowest nontrivial order in ϵ . On the low density side of this transition, *i.e.* for $\epsilon<0$, we have, with $\nu=nv_0=v_0/v$,

$$\nu = \frac{z}{1+z} = \frac{r}{1+r} + \frac{\epsilon}{(1+r)^2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

$$\pi = \ln(1+z) = \ln(1+r) + \frac{\epsilon}{1+r} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \quad .$$

Eliminating ϵ , we have

$$\nu < \nu_c$$
 \Rightarrow $\pi = \ln(1+r) + (1+r)(\nu - \nu_c) + \dots$

where $\nu_c = r/(1+r)$ is the critical dimensionless density. Now investigate the high density side of the transition, where $\epsilon > 0$. Integrating over the region $[r, r + \epsilon]$, we find

$$\begin{split} \nu &= \frac{z}{1+z} + \frac{\alpha}{w} \left(z-r\right) = \frac{r}{1+r} + \left[\frac{1}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{\alpha}{w}\right] \epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \\ \pi &= \ln(1+z) + \frac{\alpha}{w} \Big[z + r \ln(r/z) - r\Big] = \ln(1+r) + \frac{\epsilon}{1+r} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \quad . \end{split}$$




Figure 6: Fugacity z and dimensionless pressure $pv_0/k_{\rm B}T$ versus dimensionless volume per particle v/v_0 for problem (2), with $\alpha=\frac{1}{4}$ and r=w=1. Different portions of the curves are shown in different colors. The dashed line denotes the minimum possible volume $v_{\rm min}=v_0/(1+\alpha)$.

Note that $\partial \pi/\partial z$ is continuous through the transition. As we are about to discover, $\partial \pi/\partial \nu$ is discontinuous. Eliminating ϵ , we have

$$\nu > \nu_{\rm c}$$
 \Rightarrow $\pi = \ln(1+r) + \frac{1+r}{1+(1+r)^2 (\alpha/w)} (\nu - \nu_{\rm c}) + \dots$.

Thus, the isothermal compressibility $\kappa_T = -\frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial p}\right)_T$ is discontinuous at the transition. This can be seen clearly as a kink in Fig. 6.

Suppose the density of states $g(\sigma)$ behaves as a power law in the vicinity of $\sigma=r$, with $g(\sigma)\simeq A\,(\sigma-r)^t$. Normalization of the integral of $g(\sigma)$ then requires t>-1 for convergence at this lower limit. For $z=r+\epsilon$ with $\epsilon>0$, one now has

$$\nu = \frac{r}{1+r} + \frac{\epsilon}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{\alpha A \epsilon^{t+1}}{t+1} + \dots$$

$$\pi = \ln(1+r) + \frac{\epsilon}{1+r} + \frac{\alpha A \epsilon^{t+2}}{(t+1)(t+2)r} + \dots$$

If t>0, then to order ϵ the expansion is the same for $\epsilon<0$, and both π and its derivative $\frac{\partial\pi}{\partial\nu}$ are continuous across the transition. (Higher order derivatives, however, may be discontinuous or diverge.) If -1< t<0, then ϵ^{t+1} dominates over ϵ in the first of these equations, and we have

$$\epsilon = \left(\frac{(t+1)(\nu - \nu_{\rm c})}{\alpha A}\right)^{\frac{1}{t+1}}$$

and

$$\pi = \ln(1+r) + \frac{1}{1+r} \left(\frac{t+1}{\alpha A}\right)^{\frac{1}{t+1}} (\nu - \nu_{c})^{\frac{1}{t+1}}$$

which has a nontrivial power law behavior typical of second order critical phenomena.