

Problem 1

(a) intrinsic:  $\frac{\sigma(P_T)}{P_T} = \frac{\sigma_{RP} P_T}{0.3 BL^2} \sqrt{\frac{720}{N+4}}$

multiple scattering:  $\frac{\sigma(P_T)}{P_T} = \frac{0.05}{BL} \sqrt{\frac{1.43 X}{X_0}}$

For CMS we have:

$$\sigma_{RP} \sim 40 \mu\text{m}$$

we take this to be the same for all layers, even though it isn't.

$$B = 4T$$

$$L = 110 \text{ cm}$$

The tracker consists in the barrel of:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Pixel} = 3 \text{ layers} \\ \text{TIB} = 4 \text{ layers} \\ \text{TOB} = 6 \text{ layers} \end{array} \right\} N = 13 \text{ @ } \eta = 0$$

At  $\eta = 1.6$ , tracks go through 3 pixel layers, 1 TIB, ~~TOB~~ but instead 11 disks

$$\Rightarrow N = 15 \text{ @ } \eta = 1.6$$

The  $L$  that enters the intrinsic resolution formula is the same for  $\eta = 0$  and  $\eta = 1.6$  because it comes from the radius measurement.

Problem 1 a) continued:

HW 2 p. 2

$$\frac{G(P_T)}{P_T} = \frac{40 \mu\text{m}}{0.3 \cdot 4\pi \cdot 1.2 \text{ m}^2} \sqrt{\frac{720}{17}} P_T$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{720}{17}} = 6.5$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{720}{19}} = 6.2$$

this is the same for the precisions we are after.

$$\frac{4 \cdot 10^{-5}}{0.3 \cdot 4 \cdot 1.2} = 2.8 \cdot 10^{-5}$$

$$\boxed{\frac{G(P_T)}{P_T} \sim 2 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot P_T}$$

toughly the same for  $\eta=0$  and  $\eta=1.6$

For multiple scattering:

$$\frac{x}{x_0} (\eta=0) = 0.4$$

$$\frac{x}{x_0} (\eta=1.6) \approx 1.4$$

To be fair, we note that each layer only sees the material inside of itself. So let's use 0.4 and 1.0 for the radiation length.

$$\frac{G(P_T)}{P_T} = \frac{0.05}{4 \cdot 1.1} \cdot \sqrt{1.43 \cdot 0.4} = 0.01 \cdot 0.76 = 9 \cdot 10^{-3} @ \eta=0$$
$$12 \cdot 10^{-3} @ \eta=1.6$$

~~It's worthwhile noting that the difference in radiation length does not determine the resolution dramatically~~

Problem 1 a) continued:

HW 2 p. 3

$$\frac{E_{PT}}{P_T} \Big|_{MS} \cong 10^{-2}$$

$$\frac{E_{PT}}{P_T} \Big|_{\text{intrinsic}}$$

The two are the same at roughly  $P_T \approx 50 \text{ GeV}$

This is surprisingly consistent with Fig. 1.5.

From Fig. 1.5 I read off a cross over at  $\approx 50-60 \text{ GeV}$

This is roughly the momentum of leptons

from  $Z$  decay.  $\frac{m_Z}{2} \approx 45 \text{ GeV}$

$$1(b) \quad N(\lambda) = N_0 \left( 1 - e^{-\lambda/\lambda_0} \right) = \# \text{ of } \pi^+ \text{ that undergo hadronic interactions}$$

$$\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0} = \begin{cases} 0.13 \\ 0.4 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \frac{N_\lambda}{N_0} \approx \begin{cases} 0.12 @ \eta=0 \\ 0.33 @ \eta=1.6 \end{cases}$$

The inefficiency is roughly  $0.1 @ \eta=0$   
 $0.2 @ \eta=1.6$

Though it's almost  $0.3$  for  $16 \text{ GeV}$  pions at  $\eta=1.6$

Some fraction of the  $\pi^+$  that undergo hadronic interactions are reconstructed as tracks. Most likely because the interest in layers at larger radius and are thus reconstructed in the inner layers.

Problem 1c:

HW 2 p. 4

$$P_T = 0.3 B R$$

$$R = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1.1 \text{ nm} \Rightarrow P_T = 0.656 \text{ eV}$$

$$B = 4T$$

Problem 1d:

$$\frac{E}{E_0} = e^{-x/x_0}$$

$$\frac{x}{x_0} = 0.4 \text{ @ } \eta = 0$$

$$= 1.4 \text{ @ } \eta = 1.6$$

you thus radiate off

about 33% of the  $e^-$  energy @  $\eta = 0$   $\nabla$

and about 75% @  $\eta = 1.6$

In comparison, the resolution at 50 eV for photons is 0.6%.

It is an interesting reconstruction problem to figure out a way to measure the electron energy given the precision of the ECAL (very good!) but the amount of radiation (terrible!).

Problem 1e:  $\frac{N}{N_0} = e^{-\frac{7}{3} \frac{x}{x_0}}$  relevant  $\frac{x}{x_0} \approx \frac{1}{3} \cdot 0.075 \text{ @ } \eta = 0$

$$\sim 2\% \text{ @ } \eta = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sim 9\% \text{ @ } \eta = 1.6 \text{ of photons convert in first layer.}$$